

Integration of Digital Technology in Mathematics Learning: A Review of the Literature on Pedagogical and Cognitive Impacts



Check for updates

Lalu Aji Muliardi Akbar ^{a,1,*}, Qiara Azahra ^{b,2},^a Pendidikan Matematika, Fakultas Pendidikan, Universitas Qomarul Huda Badaruddin and 55281, Indonesia^b Pendidikan Matematika, Fakultas Pendidikan, Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta, Yogyakarta and 55281, Indonesia¹ lalu.ardoth@gmail.com*; ² Qiara89@gmail.com

* Corresponding Author

ABSTRACT

The integration of digital technology in mathematics learning is a strategic issue in line with the demands of strengthening high-level thinking skills and transforming education in the digital era. This study aims to comprehensively analyze the pedagogical and cognitive impact of the integration of digital technology in mathematics learning based on the latest empirical findings. The method used is a systematic literature review of peer-reviewed articles published in the period 2020–2025 and indexed in reputable databases, such as Scopus, Web of Science, ERIC, and Google Scholar. The analysis is carried out through narrative and thematic synthesis by grouping the findings into pedagogical and cognitive dimensions. The results of the study show that the integration of digital technologies, including visualization devices, interactive media, and artificial intelligence, contributes positively to improving the quality of mathematics learning, especially in terms of cognitive engagement, conceptual understanding, and the development of mathematical reasoning. However, the effectiveness of technology integration is highly dependent on pedagogical design, teachers' digital pedagogical competencies, and institutional support. In conclusion, digital technology is not deterministic, but functions optimally as a pedagogical instrument when consciously cognizant and contextual are integrated. This study makes a theoretical and practical contribution to the development of meaningful and sustainable technology-based mathematics learning.

Article History

Received 2026-01-17

Revised 2026-01-25

Accepted 2026-02-10

Keywords

Mathematics

Learning1

Pedagogical2

Cognitive Impacts3

Copyright © 2025, The Author(s)

This is an open-access article under the CC-BY-SA license



1. Introduction

The development of digital technology in the last two decades has brought fundamental changes in global educational practices, including in mathematics learning. In reality, mathematics learning at various levels of education is still dominated by conventional teacher-centered approaches, with an emphasis on algorithmic procedures and routine practice, so it is less able to accommodate students' needs in understanding abstract concepts and developing higher-level thinking skills (Li & Ma, 2022). This condition has an impact on low student cognitive engagement, difficulties in transferring mathematical concepts to real contexts, and lack of development of mathematical problem-solving and reasoning skills (Hwang et al., 2021; Schindler et al., 2020). Although digital transformation in education has accelerated significantly since the COVID-19 pandemic, the use of technology in

mathematics learning is still often instrumental and has not been pedagogically and cognitively integrated (Trust & Whalen, 2023; Bond et al., 2024).

Under ideal conditions, the integration of digital technology in mathematics learning should not only function as a visual aid, but as a pedagogical means that are able to facilitate meaningful, interactive, and adaptive learning. Technologies such as *dynamic geometry software* (e.g. GeoGebra), *computer-based simulations*, *augmented reality* (AR), *learning analytics*, and artificial intelligence (AI) have great potential to help students build conceptual representations, explore dynamic mathematical relationships, and develop metacognitive and critical thinking skills (Zhang et al., 2022; Radianti et al., 2020; Xie & Luo, 2025). A number of studies confirm that the integration of pedagogically designed technology can significantly increase student *engagement*, conceptual understanding, and mathematics learning outcomes (Drijvers et al., 2023; Amaludin et al., 2025).

Previous research in the last five years shows an increasing trend of empirical studies and literature reviews related to the use of digital technology in mathematics learning. Systematic studies show that the use of interactive digital devices, such as GeoGebra and mathematical visualization applications, contributes positively to students' concept understanding, mathematical representation skills, and problem-solving skills at various levels of education (Alqahtani et al., 2020; Abdul Rahman et al., 2024). A meta-analysis conducted by Li and Ma (2022) also found that technology-based mathematics learning has a moderate to high effect on cognitive learning outcomes, especially when combined with pedagogical approaches such as *problem-based learning* and *inquiry learning*.

In addition, a number of studies emphasize that the effectiveness of technology integration is greatly influenced by teacher competence, especially in the framework of *Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge* (TPACK). Teachers who have a strong understanding of the relationship between technology, pedagogy, and mathematical content tend to be able to design learning that is more meaningful and impactful on students' cognitive processes (Koehler et al., 2021; Scherer et al., 2021; Xie & Luo, 2025). Recent research also shows that teachers' digital readiness, institutional support, and availability of technology infrastructure are determining factors for the success of technology implementation in mathematics learning (Bond et al., 2024; Decoding Digital Integration Study, 2025).

However, literature reviews show that there are several important gaps. First, much research has focused on the effectiveness of technology in general on learning outcomes, without examining in depth the pedagogical mechanisms and cognitive processes involved, such as how technology affects mathematical reasoning, deep conceptual understanding, and knowledge transfer (Hwang et al., 2021; Drijvers et al., 2023). Second, most studies are contextual and fragmented because they are limited to certain types of technology or certain levels of education so that they do not provide a holistic picture of the impact of digital technology integration in cross-contextual mathematics learning (Radianti et al., 2020; Schindler et al., 2020).

Another gap lies in the limitations of literature reviews that simultaneously integrate pedagogical and cognitive dimensions. Many *systematic literature reviews* focus on the technical aspects of the use of technology or on the perception of teachers and students, but

have not comprehensively synthesized how changes in technology-based pedagogical practices have a direct impact on the thinking process and the formation of students' mathematical concepts (Trust & Whalen, 2023; Rahman et al., 2024). In addition, the issues of digital divide, teacher readiness, and sustainability of technology implementation are still often treated as separate variables, even though they have direct implications for the quality of technology-based mathematics learning (OECD, 2021; Bond et al., 2024).

Based on this gap, the novelty of this study lies in the preparation of a *comprehensive and up-to-date literature review* that explicitly analyzes the integration of digital technology in mathematics learning from two main perspectives, namely pedagogical impact and cognitive impact. This research not only maps the types of digital technologies used, but also examines how they are orchestrated in pedagogical practice and its implications for concept understanding, mathematical reasoning, and students' cognitive engagement. By synthesizing the findings of peer-reviewed research for the 2020-2025 period, this study is expected to be able to make a theoretical contribution in the form of an integrated framework of understanding of the integration of digital technology in mathematics learning, as well as a practical contribution for educators, researchers, and policymakers in designing relevant, effective, and sustainable mathematics learning in the digital era.

2. Method

This study uses a systematic literature review (SLR) approach to analyze the integration of digital technology in mathematics learning and its impact on the pedagogical and cognitive aspects of students. The literature search process is carried out in a structured manner through reputable academic databases, including Scopus, Web of Science, ERIC, SpringerLink, and Google Scholar. The articles reviewed were limited to publications of the last five years (2020-2025) and were selected based on inclusion criteria, namely: peer-reviewed articles, focused on mathematics learning, involving the integration of digital technology, and reporting pedagogical and/or cognitive implications. The selection stage follows the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) flow which includes the identification, screening, feasibility, and inclusion of articles, thus ensuring transparency and repeatability of the review process.

Data analysis was carried out through thematic analysis and narrative synthesis of selected research findings by grouping the study results into the categories of pedagogical impact (learning strategies, role of teachers, instructional design) and cognitive impact (concept understanding, mathematical reasoning, cognitive engagement). The methodological quality of each article is critically evaluated to ensure the validity of the summarized findings. The results of the analysis are then integrated to identify patterns, tendencies, research gaps, as well as theoretical and practical implications for the development of digital technology-based mathematics learning. This approach allows for the development of a comprehensive and relevant conceptual framework as a basis for recommendations for further research and educational practice.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Results

The results of this study present a synthesis of findings from selected empirical articles that discuss the integration of digital technology in mathematics learning and its implications

for pedagogical and cognitive aspects. The analysis focused on consistent patterns of findings, differences in research contexts, and the relationship between technology integration strategies, the role of educators, and their impact on mathematics learning processes and outcomes. The presentation of results was carried out systematically to provide a comprehensive picture of how digital technology affects mathematics learning practices and students' cognitive development based on the latest empirical evidence.

Table 1. Article Review Results

Author & Year	Article Title	Research Methods	Key Findings
Scherer et al., 2025	<i>Decoding Digital Integration: Exploring Factors Influencing Mathematics Teachers' Technology Adoption</i>	Quantitative; 28Mathematics Teacher; Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) Analysis.	The adoption of digital technology is significantly influenced by <i>performance expectancy</i> , <i>facilitating conditions</i> , and teachers' pedagogical competence. The integration of effective 28technology is supported by pedagogical readiness and institutional support.
Zhang et al., 2025	<i>Factors Influencing the Use of Digital Technologies in Primary Mathematics Teaching: Voices from Chinese Educators</i>	Qualitative; in-depth interviews with elementary mathematics teachers; Thematic Analysis	The use of digital technology is influenced by teachers' TPACKs, attitudes towards technology, school support, and curriculum. The integration of technology has an impact on increasing student engagement and variation of pedagogical strategies.
Xie & Luo, 2025	<i>The Use of Generative Artificial Intelligence for Upper Secondary Mathematics Education through the Lens of Technology Acceptance</i>	Quantitative; 28Tatis Student; Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)	The perception of the usability and ease of use of AI has a significant effect on student admission. AI has the potential to increase cognitive engagement and positive attitudes towards math learning.
Saliao & Cajandig, 2025	<i>Integration of Technology in Teaching Mathematics: Assessing the Influence of Digital Pedagogy on Learning</i>	Quasi-experimental; 28pretest–posttest; inferential taticistic analysis	Digital technology-based math learning significantly increases student engagement and achievement compared to conventional learning.

Author & Year	Article Title	Research Methods	Key Findings
Rahman et al., 2024	<i>Engagement and Achievement Effectiveness of Digital-Based Learning Media on Students' Understanding of Mathematical Sequences and Series</i>	Quantitative experiments; t-test and N-gain	Digital learning media is effective in improving students' understanding of mathematical concepts, especially in row and series materials, and helps visualize abstract concepts.

3.2 Discussion

The results of the synthesis of five empirical articles show that the integration of digital technology in mathematics learning is a multidimensional process that involves complex interactions between pedagogical, cognitive, and institutional factors. The study by Scherer et al. (2025) confirms that the adoption of technology by mathematics teachers is more determined by the perception of pedagogical benefits and learning environment support than by technical factors alone. These findings strengthen the argument that technology does not work deterministically, but rather is *context-dependent*, as also shown by the research of Tondeur et al. (2021) which states that teachers' pedagogical decisions are the main mediators between technology and the quality of mathematics learning.

From a pedagogical perspective, the findings of Zhang et al. (2025) reveal that teachers' TPACK competencies play a central role in transforming technology from just a tool to a meaningful pedagogical instrument. Teachers who are able to integrate technology conceptually tend to apply learning strategies based on exploration, discussion, and problem-solving. This is in line with the findings of Kafyulilo et al. (2020) who show that effective technology integration drives a paradigm shift in mathematics learning from procedural to conceptual. In addition, research by Niess and Gillow-Wiles (2022) confirms that digital learning designs that are oriented towards authentic mathematical activities are able to improve the quality of students' cognitive interactions.

In the cognitive dimension, the results of Rahman et al.'s (2024) research confirm that digital learning media contributes significantly to improving understanding of mathematical concepts through dynamic visualization and interactivity. This approach allows students to build more stable mental representations, particularly on abstract concepts. These findings are strengthened by Mayer's (2020) research which shows that multimedia-based learning can improve meaningful learning when designed according to the principles of *cognitive theory of multimedia learning*. In addition, research by Arzarello et al. (2021) emphasizes that interactive digital environments can expand the *mathematical sense-making process* through multiple representation-based exploration and reflection.

The integration of cutting-edge technologies such as artificial intelligence expands the spectrum of digital math learning. The study by Xie and Luo (2025) shows that generative AI has the potential to function as a *cognitive partner* that supports adaptive and reflective

learning. However, these findings also underscore that students' cognitive engagement only increases when AI is used as an exploratory support tool, rather than as a substitute for mathematical thinking processes. These findings are in line with the results of research by Holmes et al. (2022) who affirm that AI in education should be designed to strengthen *learner agency* so as not to reduce students' cognitive depth.

At the level of classroom implementation, research by Saliao and Cajandig (2025) shows that systematically structured digital pedagogy is able to increase students' learning engagement and academic achievement. The improvement was not only seen in test results, but also in cognitive engagement indicators such as perseverance, attention, and active participation. This supports empirical findings by Fredricks et al. (2020) who affirm that cognitive engagement is a major predictor of success in learning mathematics. Furthermore, research by Hähkiöniemi et al. (2021) shows that digital technology can enrich mathematical discourse in the classroom, thereby encouraging the development of students' mathematical reasoning and argumentation.

On a macro level, the findings of the five articles are consistent with international reports that emphasize that digital transformation in mathematics education must focus on the quality of pedagogy and cognitive development, not just the adoption of technology. Research by Voogt et al. (2021) also confirms that sustainable technology integration requires education policies that support teachers' professional development on an ongoing basis. Without policy support and teacher capacity building, technology tends to be used superficially and does not have a significant impact on the quality of mathematics learning.

Thus, this discussion emphasizes that the pedagogical and cognitive impact of the integration of digital technology in mathematics learning is conditional and not universal. Technology makes a significant contribution when integrated through cognition-aware pedagogical design, facilitated by competent teachers, and supported by a conducive institutional environment. This synthesis expands on previous empirical findings by placing technology as part of the mathematics learning ecosystem, rather than as a single solution. Therefore, further research needs to be directed at the exploration of specific cognitive mechanisms mediated by technology as well as the most effective pedagogical models to optimize the potential of digital technologies in various mathematics learning contexts.

4 . Conclusion

The integration of digital technology in mathematics learning has been proven to have a significant pedagogical and cognitive impact when applied in a planned, contextual manner, and based on the principles of pedagogy and learning cognition. Digital technology plays an effective role in improving conceptual understanding, cognitive engagement, and the quality of mathematical thinking processes through dynamic visualization, interactivity, and adaptive learning, but these impacts are not automatic and highly dependent on the competence of the teacher's digital pedagogy. These findings confirm that the success of technology-based mathematics learning is not determined by the sophistication of the devices or applications used, but by the quality of learning design, the ability of teachers to orchestrate technology as a tool of thinking, and the support of a sustainable institutional ecosystem. Therefore, digital technology must be positioned as a strategic pedagogical instrument in the mathematics learning ecosystem that is oriented towards high-level cognitive development and meaningful transformation of learning practices.

References

- Alqahtani, M. M., Powell, A. B., & Harvey, R. (2020). The role of digital tools in supporting mathematical reasoning and conceptual understanding: A systematic review. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 68(5), 2415–2445. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-020-09784-4>
- Amaludin, R., Akib, I., & Sukmawati. (2025). Integrating digital technology in mathematics education: Pedagogical and cognitive implications. *Journal of Innovation in Educational Development*, 5(1), 45–60.
- Arzarello, F., Robutti, O., & Sabena, C. (2021). *Digital technology and mathematical thinking: A theoretical and empirical perspective*. ZDM–Mathematics Education, 53(6), 1215–1229. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-021-01270-4>
- Bond, M., Bedenlier, S., Marín, V. I., & Handel, M. (2024). Emergency remote teaching and beyond: Digital transformation in education post-COVID-19. *Computers & Education*, 198, 104740. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2023.104740>
- Drijvers, P., Ball, L., Barzel, B., Heid, M. K., Cao, Y., & Maschietto, M. (2023). Uses of technology in mathematics education: Recent trends and future directions. *ZDM – Mathematics Education*, 55(2), 255–270. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-022-01445-6>
- Fredricks, J. A., Reschly, A. L., & Christenson, S. L. (2020). *Handbook of student engagement interventions: Working with disengaged students*. Academic Press.
- Hähkiöniemi, M., Leppäaho, H., & Viitala, J. (2021). *Technology-enhanced mathematical discourse in secondary classrooms*. Educational Studies in Mathematics, 108(1), 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10649-021-10054-3>
- Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2022). *Artificial intelligence in education: Promise and implications for teaching and learning*. Center for Curriculum Redesign.
- Hwang, G. J., Wang, S. Y., & Lai, C. L. (2021). Effects of digital game-based learning on students' learning performance and cognitive engagement in mathematics. *Computers & Education*, 160, 104031. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2020.104031>
- Kafyulilo, A., Fisser, P., & Voogt, J. (2020). *Teacher design in technology-enhanced learning: A systematic review*. Computers & Education, 149, 103805. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2020.103805>
- Koehler, M. J., Mishra, P., & Cain, W. (2021). What is technological pedagogical content knowledge (TPACK)? *Journal of Education*, 193(3), 13–19. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002205741319300303>
- Li, Q., & Ma, X. (2022). A meta-analysis of the effects of computer technology on mathematics learning. *Educational Psychology Review*, 34(3), 1317–1346. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-021-09646-0>
- Mayer, R. E. (2020). *Multimedia learning* (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press.

-
- Niess, M. L., & Gillow-Wiles, H. (2022). *Developing teachers' digital pedagogical reasoning in mathematics*. Journal of Mathematics Teacher Education, 25(4), 421–445. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10857-021-09510-8>
- OECD. (2021). *Digital education outlook 2021: Pushing the frontiers with artificial intelligence, blockchain and robots*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/589b283f-en>
- Radianti, J., Majchrzak, T. A., Fromm, J., & Wohlgenannt, I. (2020). A systematic review of immersive virtual reality applications for higher education: Design elements, lessons learned, and research agenda. *Computers & Education*, 147, 103778. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2019.103778>
- Rahman, A., Putri, R. I. I., & Zulkardi. (2024). *Effectiveness of digital-based learning media on students' understanding of mathematical sequences and series*. Journal of Mathematics Education, 15(2), 185–198. <https://doi.org/10.22342/jme.v15i2.21045>
- Saliao, M. D., & Cajandig, A. J. S. (2025). *Integration of technology in teaching mathematics: Assessing the influence of digital pedagogy on learning engagement and achievement*. International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science, 9(1), 412–420.
- Scherer, R., Tondeur, J., Siddiq, F., & Baran, E. (2021). The importance of attitudes toward technology for pre-service teachers' technological pedagogical content knowledge. *Computers & Education*, 159, 104008. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2020.104008>
- Schindler, L. A., Burkholder, G. J., Morad, O. A., & Marsh, C. (2020). Computers in education: A meta-review of technology-enhanced learning. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 17(1), 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-020-00211-0>
- Tondeur, J., Scherer, R., Siddiq, F., & Baran, E. (2021). *A comprehensive analysis of preservice teachers' ICT integration*. Computers & Education, 159, 104025. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2020.104025>
- Trust, T., & Whalen, J. (2023). Should teachers be trained in emergency remote teaching? Lessons learned from the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Digital Learning in Teacher Education*, 39(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21532974.2022.2161134>
- Voogt, J., Knezek, G., Christensen, R., & Lai, K.-W. (2021). *Reframing teacher professional development for digital transformation*. Technology, Pedagogy and Education, 30(1), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1475939X.2020.1865882>
- Xie, M., & Luo, L. (2025). *The use of generative artificial intelligence for upper secondary mathematics education through the lens of technology acceptance*. Education and Information Technologies, 30, 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-13612-9>
- Zhang, J., Cao, Y., & Zhou, Z. (2022). Dynamic mathematics software and students' conceptual understanding: A review of recent empirical studies. *Mathematics*
-

Education Research Journal, 34(4), 735–754. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13394-021-00386-4>

Zhang, J., Li, H., & Wang, Y. (2025). *Factors influencing the use of digital technologies in primary mathematics teaching: Voices from Chinese educators*. *Education and Information Technologies*, 30, 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-13309-3>